



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/651,585		08/29/2000	Iwao Inagaki	JP9-1999-0175US	1999-0175US 1014	
25259	7590	01/26/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
IBM COR			BLAIR, DOUGLAS B			
	3039 CORNWALLIS RD. DEPT. T81 / B503, PO BOX 12195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
REASEAR	CH TRÍ	ANGLE PARK, NC	27709	2142		
				DATE MAILED: 01/26/200	DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/651,585	INAGAKI ET AL.				
navious rioden	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Douglas B Blair	2142				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to n places the applicatior	a n in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context o	divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See R 1.136(a) and the appropria unt of the fee. The appropri- originally set in the final Office	e MPEP ate extension ate extension ce action; or			
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
· · · ·		as NOTE belowly				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);	•			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	,.		16 dans 41a a			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	i better form for appear by mater	rially reducing or simpli	rying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed ame	endment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT pl	ace the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were ne	ewly			
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo 			an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:			•			
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b)□ disapproved by th	ne Examiner.	•			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						

J -- 😮

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For reasons pointed out in the previous office action, Jeffords is believed to teach the claimed subject matter. For instance Figure 1 of Jeffords could read on claim 1. It is also unclear how the claimed subject matter solves the problems mentioned in the background of the specification on pages 2 and 3.

BUPERVISUAL DE ELAGINES